

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS PO Box 1450 Alcassedan, Virginia 22313-1450 www.emplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/724,701	12/02/2003	Jean-Paul Accarie	02997.002501.	1995	
	7590 10/06/201 CELLA HARPER &	EXAM	EXAMINER		
1290 Avenue o	f the Americas	LIU, LIN			
NEW YORK,	NY 10104-3800	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
		2445			
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			10/06/2010	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/724,701	ACCARIE ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
LIN LIU	2445		

		LITTEIG	2440				
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence add	ress			
THE F	THE REPLY FILED 22 September 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.						
_	The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appe for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 (periods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavi eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request			
	∑ The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection					
b) [The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I: Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejection	n.			
have b under 3 set fort may re	MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION, See MPEP 706.07(ions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1,136(a). The date seen filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex 37 CFR 1,17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office lated duce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1,704(b)	on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.1 tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply origi than three months after the mailing dat	of the fee. The appropria inally set in the final Office	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as			
	DE OF APPEAL						
- 1	The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the				
	IDMENTS	,	(-)				
	The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further colb) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE becolo) They are not deemed to place the application in bet	nsideration and/or search (see NO) w);	TE below);				
(appeal; and/or (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	corresponding number of finally reje	ected claims.				
4. I	The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12	21. See attached Notice of Non-Co	mpliant Amendment (PTOL-324).			
	Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)						
	Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s).	lowable if submitted in a separate,	timely filed amendmer	nt canceling the			
_!	For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) now the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed:		l be entered and an e	xplanation of			
	Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-26 and 28-31</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:						
	AVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE						
8. 🗆	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).						
9. 🔲	The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessan	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appea y and was not earlier presented. Se	al and/or appellant fail ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1	s to provide a).			
10.	The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio	n of the status of the claims after er	ntry is below or attach	ed.			

/Ajay Bhatia/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 2445

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

See Continuation Sheet.

13. Other: .

11. X The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

Continuation of 11, does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: On page 1 6 of Applicant's remark, Applicant argues that Henry '696 fails to teach or suggest 'determining a distinct IEEE 1394 address for each device from the second network. This feature is not explicitly discussed in Henry '596, and in fact the absence of this step can be understood plainly from paragraph [0068]." In response to Applicant's argument, the examiner respectufily disagrees. Henry on page 3 paragraphs 53-56 and 66-67 disclose that when a new UPP device is conencted to the system, an IP address is automatically assigned by DHCP.

Furthermore, on the same page of the remark, Applicant argues that "Applicant submits that in a 1394 system, a bus reset usually occurs upon change of a node. During the bus reset new 1394 addresses are created according to a defined system. Therefore, in the case described at pagraph [0088] of Henry 1996, the absence of a bus reset in the system described in Henry 1996 means that a person skilled in the art would understand that a 1394 address is not assigned to the new UPnP device, contrary to the recitations of claim 1." In response to Applicant's argument, the examiner acknowledges that "a distinct IEEE 1394 address" applicant refers to in the specification is a "data bus 10", however, such limitation is not explicitly recited in the present claims. Since the claims are found in light of the specification, and it is interpreted as broadly as reasonable, the examiner reasonably equates the "distinct IEEE 1394 address" as the IP address assigned by DHCP (Henry; page 3, paragraps 53-54 and 66-67).